# AFT Local 6262 - Executive Board Meeting

| Location: Zoom | March 25, |
|----------------|-----------|
| 2023           |           |

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

**Attendees**: Dan Portillo, Warren Heaton, John Francis, Aaron Silverman, Jessica Small,

Frank de los Reyes, and Pete Virgadamo

# Absent: None

Guests: 15 adjunct faculty and CFT Representative Anthony Solis

**Dan Portillo**, the president of AFT Local 6262, began the Open Session of our meeting at 2:04 pm and welcomed our guests. After the agenda was amended and approved, Dan opened the meeting to comments from the adjunct faculty.

- XX spoke about the adjunct faculty office in Canyon Country
  - which is Room 507
  - after a discussion of the room's shortcomings the Board asked Secretary Pete Virgadamo to visit the room
  - he is to submit a report at our next meeting

**Dan** then talked about the Skilled Teaching Certificate program:

- he asked who supervises it and Warren stated that it is the responsibility of Omar Torres of the Instruction Office
- Warren further said this it will be replaced by a new program called ACUE
- whereas the old program was in person on campus

- the new program will be about 50 hours of online work
- with 6 to 7 modules and will come under Professional Development
- to earn an increase in range adjustment in base pay
- this is COC's proposal and AFT countered with increasing FLEX for up to 9 hours and range adjustment
- these two proposals are currently in negotiation

2

**John** - asked if there was a site that he can use to blast information adjuncts of the change?

**Warren** – No, replied not at this time

- this matter is still under negotiation but once we have reached a tentative agreement
- we can move forward on informing the adjunct faculty

# Warren Heaton- Vice President of Negotiations:

- spoke on several crucial issues for the adjuncts
- first was the PERB complaint we filed in regard to COC's failure to follow
- their own imposed contract from Fall, 2022
- this has resulted in a nightmare on class assignments
  - many departments are still using the old system
  - while others have chosen the new system
- COC is not following its own procedures
  - AFT asked for an immediate response to this PERB complaint
  - but COC has delayed its response until April 20<sup>th</sup>
- the impact on the adjunct faculty

- 1 5 5
- some did not get the courses they should have
- he requested that adjuncts keep their email records
- of the requested classes they did not get
- so that you can later be compensated in the future
- for classes that you were denied
- in the future
  - all adjuncts will be in a single, large pool
  - based on their Date of Hire [DOH]
- $\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{X}-\text{pointed}$  out two problems
  - in the English Department the current chair opted out of the new system
  - while the former chair had used the new system
  - which was very confusing to the adjunct faculty
  - she also suggested that there were problems with exclusively using the DOH
  - as a fixed date it is not always accurate
  - and suggested that the number of semesters taught and the number of classes taught

- are still important

Warren responded:

- we are no longer debating that issue
- the decision has been made to use DOH
  - and AFT is focusing on using that
- and following the contract's use of DOH to determine seniority
- this is the nature of unions in determining the criteria for work assignments
- it is seniority and the level of competence

- this is far better than in the past
- when classes were assigned on the basis of 'friends and family' which was not a fair system
- **XX** complained about that retired full-timers and administrators were getting ahead of adjuncts in the seniority list

Warren – explained in detail

- that the full-timers can no longer 'jump the line' as in the old system
- which was very unfair to the adjunct faculty
- we started to change the old system in 2016 and have completed the change for Fall, 2023
- there new DOH will be their DOH when they are hired as an adjunct
- COC has stated that they will apply the new DOH system in full for Spring, 2024

Warren continued with new information on negotiations:

- there is really good news to report
- the strike has created real, tangible benefits to the adjuncts
- although we were forced to return to work
- it brought media attention to our cause
- and it is clear the Board of Trustees has head our concerns

**1**. COC is proposing a significantly improved pay offer

2022/2023 – 6.5% [COLA] + 5%\* = 11.56%

2023/2024 – 8.13% [COLA] = 19.56% for a 2 year contract

- Warren said the additional 5%\* is a market adjustment and we will jump
  - up 3 or 4 places in regional comparisons
- he said this is not an insulting offer as in 2020 with its 0.00% increase
  - when we were in the bottom 25% of the region's adjuncts
- AFT's counter offer
  - 2022/2023 11.56% +2 % = 13.56%
  - 2023/2024 8.13% +2% = 10.56%
  - FLEX will go from a COC's 5/4 ratio to AFT's 8/1 proposal

**2.** on voting rights

- we were granted the right to choose our textbooks
- which is a major concession by COC

**3**. Office Hours

- no increase since 2017 on the non-instruction rate
- plus our unscheduled office hours are not paid
- for 2022 we are requesting back pay
- and non-credit adjuncts will now be paid for office hours
- 4. Committee Compensation
  - will expand the list for committees that will pay adjuncts for their service
  - we already have the Academic Senate as a paid service
  - this will increase the voice adjuncts have on campus
  - Aaron said that there are now 6 committees that are paid
  - AFT is requesting over a dozen more committees

**Warren** – stated that our large goal is to enable an adjunct

- to teach in just one distract
- such as COC to make a living
- rather than driving from campus to campus

#### **5.** Academic Freedom

- the choice of our textbooks and other instructional material
- some to remain standardized
- Math and Physics are forced to use certain textbooks

5

and even exams

**Pete** – spoke about a new problem in History

- on retreats and department meetings for several semesters
- adjuncts we have received no invitations
- is this right?
- **XX** brought up the issue in a recent English Department meeting
  - there was a vigorous debate
  - adjuncts still do not get the right to vote on vote on certain issues
  - such as the department chair
  - the full-timers are aware of the need to be democratic
  - so this remains a work in progress

Warren – discussed the issue of department chairs

- perhaps adjuncts could have a proportional vote
- chairs still have a lot of power
- such as selecting the courses to be taught
- Pete asked what would be the proportional percentage?
- that is still up for debate
- one issue is the turnover in the adjunct faculty
- full timers complain that there is no continuity with the adjuncts in their departments
- Jessica responded, saying that the most active adjuncts are stable, long-timer instructors

These comments led to a debate by several Board members:

- including Pete, Jess, Frank and Warren
- their comments included facts such as adjuncts with their afternoon and evening classes
- teach a more diverse student body
- and Pete suggested that departments use Zoom for their meetings to increase the adjuncts participation

**Warren** – asked are their other areas where adjuncts are not voting?

- one was the building of courses
- adjuncts are not part of that decision making

 $\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{X}-\boldsymbol{s}poke$  about working on the process of accreditation

6

- they get 10 hours of pay
- but it is up to 30 to 40 hours of work
- this is an important issue
- because assignments are based on accredited courses

Warren and Frank replied – perhaps use FLEX hours?

- or independent types of FLEX work
- but they have to be approved of in advance

Warren then spoke about the problems

- associated with the selection of the department chair
- many full-time faculty avoid it
- yet in other departments is it is welcomed as an honor

**X7X7** 1 1 ... 1 ... 1 1.1 1

XX – had a question about the new health care law

**Warren** – replied that AFT has postponed negotiations on it

- this is a very complex law and is difficult to implement
- AFT first has to develop a plan which will enable the adjuncts to meet the law's criteria
- also COC has pushed back hard on it
- we will wait until the next round of negotiations
- this is especially hard to establish at COC
- because we had nothing in place before this new law

Jessica Small - the Vice President of Membership: deferred her report

to the Closed Session.

John Francis, the Vice President of Outreach:

- continues with updates in the AFT Newsletter
- such as what to do if your class is cancelled
- he urged adjuncts to keep records on this and other assignment issues
- also he has updated the AFT website plus provided the details on our new PERB complaint
- and has posted all the recent minutes from Pete
- he expects to work with Jess, Frank and Pete on our membership data

**Pete Virgadamo**, the Secretary reported on problems with his evaluation:

- he was not notified by his chair that he would be evaluated this semester
- the first indication of it was when he received the results

- of the online evaluation by his students
- and was only familiar with the previous in class evaluation by the students

Aaron– explained that it is now an online student survey

- if there was no advance notice
- this can be a grieveable offense
- Warren added the details on our evaluation cycle
  - AFT has worked hard on this part of our contract
  - it is in Article 8
  - we are not evaluated on a calendar year system but on the number of semesters taught
  - it is now 8 semesters for an adjunct in Pool 1
  - he also noted that in the student evaluation it is at 50% of the term
  - students receive an email link to do the evaluation
  - and the results are not included in the adjunct's evaluation score
- Pete stated that there was another problem
  - he was notified of the peer evaluation near the last week of the 8 week course
  - he could only choose the day the term papers were due
  - or he could choose the last day of class
  - -
- Aaron stepped in on this issue
  - the last day of class is not appropriate or fair
  - it makes no pedalogical sense and would result in an unfair evaluation
- Pete added some final comments
  - he requested an extension for the evaluation
  - until next semester
  - with Dan's help he was granted an extension by the chair and the dean

John – said he would include those details

- in the next AFT Newsletter
- and will give a link to our contract which has all the details of adjunct evaluations

### New Business – from Warren:

- reminded the adjuncts about mileage compensation
- if you travel between different educational

facilities/institutions

- such as COC, CCC and the high schools
- as well as detention facilities
- you can submit a request for mileage compensation
- also we received these details on our membership
  - 256 AFT members who are teaching this semester
  - 159 adjuncts who are not AFT members and are teaching this

semester

After these reports by the members of Executive Board, **President Dan Portillo** adjourned the Open Session of our meeting at 3:40 pm. The next meeting of our Executive Board will be on Saturday, the 22nd of April at 2pm.